



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,639	02/19/2002	Tomislav F. Milinusic	190809-1100	7250

34637 7590 12/24/2003

BIDDLE & ASSOCIATES
6300 POWERS FERRY ROAD
SUITE 600-183
ATLANTA, GA 30339

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, KIMBINH T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2671

DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003

6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/079,639

Applicant(s)

MILINUSIC, TOMISLAV F.

Examiner

Kimbinh T. Nguyen

Art Unit

2671

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 February 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____. 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seeley et al. (6,069,655).

Claim 1, Seeley et al. discloses a sensor system (sensors S1-S3; fig. 2) configured to detect predetermined conditions (detecting any intrusions or premises) and generating surveillance data in response thereto (continuously and reliably monitoring one or number of premises; col. 5, lines 49-50); processing system configured to receive the surveillance data (intrusion site data received at the computer is first buffer in a buffer; col. 15, lines 55-57; col. 16, lines 23-25) and incorporate the surveillance data (video buffer) into a surveillance database (a mass memory 402 and 502; col. 15, lines 57-61; col. 16, lines 26-30); and controlling the processing system to retrieve predetermined surveillance data (historical video data for previous intrusions) from the surveillance database (col. 6, lines 38-41; col. 15, lines 63-65; col. 16, lines 30-32).

Claims 2, 3, Seeley et al. discloses generating and output reports based upon the surveillance data (col. 6, lines 30-38); the control and command system is configured to distribute surveillance data over a network (all the monitor premises are routed to a workstation; col. 6, lines 27-30; the signal are routed through the video server to one of the workstation through a multiplexed Ethernet interface; col. 15, lines 57-60) .

Claims 4, 14, Seeley et al. discloses generating graphical representations (a mosaic pattern image) for display on a display device (display 602), based upon surveillance data (col. 16, lines 50-64).

Claims 5, 15, Seeley et al. discloses the sensor system comprises a sensor unit (sensors S1-S3; col. 9, lines 4-12; fig. 2).

Claims 6, 13, Seeley et al. discloses detecting predetermined conditions (a predetermined intervals after the intrusion is detected; col. 6, lines 10-11) and to generate surveillance data representative of the detected conditions (col. 19, lines 2-10).

Claims 7, 11, Seeley et al. discloses the surveillance data comprises data indicative of the time the conditions where detected (predetermined period of time; col. 19, lines 15-29).

Claims 8, 12, Seeley et al. discloses the surveillance data comprises data indicative of the location of the detected conditions (both inside and outside; col. 6, lines 3-7) .

Claim 9, Seeley et al. discloses memory (mass memory); surveillance database stored on the memory (a mass memory 402 stored video and interleaved audio supplied by the SCU through the AU and TA; col. 15, lines 51-53); the surveillance database comprises surveillance data collected by a surveillance sensor unit (video buffers; col. 15, lines 51-65); further, the rationale provided in the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated herein. **Claim 10**, Seeley et al. discloses the surveillance server is configured to incorporate surveillance data received from the surveillance sensor unit into the surveillance database (col. 15, lines 61-65).

Claims 16, 17, Seeley et al. discloses the surveillance unit comprises detection devices (cameras; col. 6, lines 3-10).

Claims 18-21, Seeley et al. discloses the camera is responsive to the visible light spectrum; the camera is responsive to infrared radiation (IR) (col. 10, line 64 through col. 11, line 5); the camera comprises a video camera (col. 3, lines 1-2); the detection device (camera) comprises a position detection device (col. 6, lines 3-7).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Kimbinh Nguyen** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-9683**. The examiner can normally be reached **(Monday- Thursday from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM and alternate Fridays from 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM)**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman, can be reached at (703) 305-9798.

Art Unit: 2671

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Part II, 2121 Crystal Drive,
Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or
proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office
whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.



Kimbinh Nguyen

December 15, 2003

MARK ZIMMERMAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600